New York, July 14, 1856

Dear Mr. Tyler,

I have carefully read your letter byesty, and take it is correct. I don't think I need make any improvement in its composition. It is too well written for that. I send you a copy by this afternoon's mail, or rather three copies.

I am sorry after all that I have been compelled to put your letter in the news department and not in the editorial column. But I have written upon it a column of one editorial in reference to it. You will see in the Citizen a letter from Mr. Hitchcock, which accounts for your letter being excised out of the editorial papers, for those papers will hardly be seen for both. You did not feel assured in justice that nothing should take place in a paper like the Citizen—other papers praised too by himself. His letter will show to you the Irish cause. You could publish their kindness to get the Pennsylvania and the Richmond Examiner to refer to it. I am not upset by it, and credit it to the Citizen. Not too will help you. I am much obliged for your offer to get them letters to copy from letter.
from the citizen. I had a private letter from Mr. Mitchell. He will soon come regularly for the funds. At that I expect or from his letter. Because I have had a letter from him, I will write to him again.

I know not whether all his Buchanan’s friends will like this article on the question of issue. I think no reason, the right for in my opinion it will do you good than a partisan article from a man in political friends. know the circumstances of his not yet having made any explanation of the speech which Jasson gave by him and continues to be him very great injury. This is not wise conjecture. Speak from good data. Have had numerous letters from influential eastern pitchpipe with him, and I have no doubt will soon receive letters pitchpipe into myself for what I have said this week in his behalf. I know a friend of great influence who is in on their behalf mostly. If Mr. Buchanan does not think it advisable to make some discreet and plan him to remove the false impressions. I think if he would authorize you or some other friend to do it for him, that great good would be expected.
I will devote this election of course, but for his own sake to oppose not to be under a cloud which himself and himself alone could see. Provided that the antipode, attributed them, are really not his. Don’t imagine because Fremont has so thought in Pennsylvania that he has been in New York State, Smith & North. The Tribune Times, Herald, and Evening Post all on one side on a formidable power.

A great democratic daily paper ought now been started in this city large of the new. The paper of the world speaks a fine story. The news has no spirited influence. Only right or a two cent letter. It is news almost his late to fill up a daily letter for the campaign.

It seems unusual that Fremont has been a Catholic till lately, and he is still is if he be anything. What is the secret story about his marriage, or his past of late he come to be married by a Catholic priest, if he was a Protestant. Why time of his marriage? The New York Express of Saturday lost (Billin...
organizes a very dangerous article about his boy a Catholic, but is dangerous in his own party.

Please write to me as soon as possible on the subject in which I asked you advice and friendly offices. I may say, I have made up my mind to visit the West to learn the whole of both I (as I) regarded it independently of the campaign, but I wrote it here particularly in the opinion of the election, if assistance have given me either in money or in what would amount equally well by the purchase of

Mr. Roger of Wabash's price. Let this the National Committee next do for them own thanks. We are into the machines, I know. I am now I could push the circulation rapidly and I want to do

improve good at a very moderate cost. I had some return of going on in Washington with you. Having defined my position as close the long in the citizen by this first article I can come
at times from time to time, and need not make any further reference to the London speech unless I should be made so happy as to be enabled to refer to it in so by explaining it away. Mr. Buchanan has no room left now to assert upon his dignity that he has done so before, or that Citizen has declared in front of the democratic candidate independently of that matter. Now have been in almost every number of the paper in the letters, as well as in the editorial piece I express the Southern institution. Thus do I help the cause.

I will at your earliest leisure run through with me a list of Southern papers which you and I both in the and the Citizen of this week. My statement last in the Senate is not so extensive as it ought to be. I think it important but I should like to know if you convey me in receipt of this.

Yours faithfully,

J. L. Haines