Gentlemen,

I desire to call your attention to the well-known case of Mr. Pope, a resident of the Borough of New York, who, as a landholder of the Commonwealth, is entitled to all the protection of the law. The Virginia Central Railroad Company, alleging that the Virginia Central Railroad Company, violating an Act of the Legislature, has committed a fraud by an unscrupulous person, is accused of the maintenance of a railroad line in the most unlawful manner. In order to prove the fraud committed by the Company, it is necessary to prove that the Company has violated the laws of the State, and in violation of the Constitution of this State.

I have been reluctantly compelled to admit that the Company's charge is at least technically, if not literally, true because the facts are shown in the face of the cases before allowed.
My judgment no possible escape from such a conclusion. It cannot be suspected therefore to appeal
in this matter directly to the Board of Public Lands of which honorable
members you are the Precedent. Mr. Long
in the complaint in which the
allegation is based is one at his
request to recognize practically to remedy
in your severe particularly to remedy
I mean it can hardly be doubted
that you have at least the justice
for determining credit of this bill 120
so entirely urgent. You at once
are present given it will be a question
whether the Chancery is the only body or the
Company is the only body or the
practically is the only body or the
Government of the great two. While
I do not pretend to offer as it has
been distinctly alleged in the most
public manners that the treatment
to which the Richmond & Fredericks
company has been subjected by The
company has been subjected by The
President of the Senate or collectee
of the Senate or the President of the
Senate of Virginia I fear it cannot be
said truthfully that there has
see an apparent want of any
Celebrate Regard of the Indisputable
right of the Independant & Freeholders
Company which neglect necessarily
impairs under the present Times
a perfect of the Good Faith of the
State pledged in the Charter of that
Company. Indeed it does at sight
of dispute that the ancient & sacred
Constitution which has confided to
your great & just & delight
and too much has not fully ascended
in the object which has been
gained which is intended to be removed
succeeded by those who have ill
exercised an important
what it may that any more
what it may of it more
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intention of Virginia cannot be
intended by Richard 2 feel the
measures by Richard 2 feel that
of a State can the
individual depend on its outcome
character for honesty or its another
solid stability for its security
and thus recognized elevation I am
sure that no member of the
Board of Public Works of Virginia
will fail to form the same plans that a State may more than an individual can
secure to prevent a plebeian
contract of so near a person or
profit to the injury of those who
have trusted its honor in dressing
of all moral order & wrong practice
of Human Justice. Constant necessity
of human justice conduct necessary
without the accountability of those
political supremacy credit
of which God has been to
and those are those but those
joining here for answer of
resolution
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First. It is true that the guaranteed right of the Richmond & Ready Company has been arbitrarily violated by the Virginia Central R.R. Co.; but the outrage committed is not to a debt but to good will and reputation of the company, and as such is not within the jurisdiction of the courts of the State.

Second. Is it not in the power of the Board of Public Works to apply a remedy in this case to prevent all future injuries although it may not be within power to afford a

redress for past wrongs? Thus deciding the State as far as practicable

from the odium of complicity either

direct or indirect, with these disgraceful proceedings?

Third. What will be the effect properly on the credit of Virginia if she shall exist becoming between practically a

party known indirectly to the

practical refutation of the character of the Richmond & Ready Company as against those stockholders residing in other States of the Union?